Unusual Quotes

A designer is unscientific even if all the evidence supports one!

'Even if all the data point to an intelligent designer, such an hypothesis is excluded from science because it is not naturalistic.'

A subconscious feeling

I never operate without having a subconscious feeling that there's no way this extraordinarily complicated mechanism known as the human body just happened to come up from slime and ooze someplace. When I make an incision with my scalpel, I see organs of such intricacy that there simply hasn't been enough time for natural evolutionary processes to have developed them.

C. Everett Koop, in Eric C. Barrett and David Fisher, editors, Scientists Who Believe (Chicago: Moody Press, 1984), p.163 (Emp added) Dr. C. Everett Koop: Former Surgeon General of the United States of America/Former editor of the Journal of Pediatric Surgery/Recipient of at least 8 honorary degrees stemming from his surgical expertise/ Member of more than a dozen medical societies worldwide/ Former president of the Surgical section of the American Academy of Pediatric Surgery/Former Professor at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine. Emp added.

Absolutely no room "In our evolutionary conception of the universe, there is

absolutely no room for either

a creator or a ruler."

Frederick Engels, Socialism: Utopian and Scientific (New York, NY: International Publishers, 1935), 21. Emp added.

ANDRADE'S

Socialism - Frederick Engels


Darwin vs Compassion

With savages, the weak in body and mind are soon eliminated; and those that survive commonly exhibit a vigorous state of health. We civilized men, on the other hand, do our utmost to check the process of elimination; we build asylums for the imbecile, the maimed and the sick; we institute poor laws; and our medical men exert their utmost skill to save the life of everyone to the last moment. There is reason to believe that vaccination has preserved thousands who, from a weak constitution, would formerly have succumbed to smallpox. Thus the weak members of civilized society propagate their kind.

No one who has attended to the breeding of domestic animals will doubt that this must be highly injurious to the race of man. It is surprising how soon a want of care, or care wrongly directed, leads to the degeneration of a domestic race; but, excepting in the case of man himself, hardly anyone is so ignorant as to allow his worst animals to breed.

The aid which we feel impelled to give to the helpless is mainly an incidental result of the instinct of sympathy, which was originally acquired as part of the social instincts, but subsequently rendered in the manner previously indicated more tender and more widely diffused. Nor can we check our sympathy, even at the urging of hard reason, without deterioration in the noblest part of our nature. We must, therefore, bear the undoubtedly bad effects of the weak surviving and propagating their kind.

The full title of Darwin's Magnum Opus is Origin of the Species by means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of the Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. Darwin's other writings reveal how barbarous evolutionary philosophy can be. (Charles Darwin, The Descent of Man, 2nd Ed., pp. 133–134, 1887). Emp added.



DNA

"The instructions within the DNA of a single cell if written out would fill a thousand 600 page books.

Each cell is a world brimming with as many as two hundred trillion tiny groups of atoms called molecules.

Our 46 [human] chromosomes 'threads' linked together would measure more than six feet. Yet the cell nucleus

that contains them is less than four ten-thousandths of an inch in diameter."

Rick Gore, "The Awesome Worlds within a Cell" in National Geographic, September 1976, pp. 357-358, 360. Emp added. www.wasdarwinright.net.

Every last trace

"The irony is devastating. The main purpose of Darwinism was to drive every last trace of an incredible God from biology. But the theory replaces God with an even more incredible deity - omnipotent chance."

T. Rosazak, "Unfinished Animal", 1975, p. 101-102. Emp added. www.wasdarwinright.net.

Extreme complexity

"But let us have no illusions - our

research would still leave us quite

unable to grasp the extreme complexity

of the simplest of organisms."

Concerning the great complexity of one-celled creatures, Dr IIya Prigogine, Professor and Director of the Physics Department of the Universite Libre de Bruxelles.

IIya Prigogine," Can Thermodynamics Explain Biological <u>order?," Impact of Science on Society,</u>
Vol 23, No.3 (1973), p.178. (Emp added)

Wishful speculations

'We should reject, as a matter of principle, the substitution of intelligent design for the dialogue of chance and necessity; we must concede that there are presently no detailed Darwinian accounts of the evolution of any biochemical or cellular system, only a variety of wishful speculations.'

Franklin M. Harold,* 2001. The way of the cell: molecules, organisms and the order of life, Oxford University Press, New York, p. 205. (Emp added)

Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry, Colorado State University, USA

Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry

Marx discovered



"Just as <u>Darwin</u> discovered the law of evolution in <u>organic nature</u>, so <u>Marx</u> discovered the law of evolution in <u>human history</u>."

Frederick Engels, Selected Works, 3 vols. (New York, NY: International Publishers, 1950), 2:153, quoted in R.N Carew Hunt, The Theory and Practice of Communism (Baltimore, MD: Penguin Books, 1966), 64. (Emp added)

Frederick Engels - Evolutionist

British Museum of Natural History

No one has ever produced species by mechanisms of natural selection. No one has gotten near it...

C. Patterson, Senior Principal Scientific Officer in the Paleontology Department of the British Museum of Natural History. Colin Patterson, "Cladistics," Interview by British Broadcasting Corporation (March 4, 1982). (Emp added)

Genetics has no proofs for evolution

"Genetics has no proofs for evolution. It has trouble explaining it. The closer one looks at the evidence for evolution the less one finds of substance. In fact the theory keeps on postulating evidence, and failing to find it, moves on to other postulates (fossil missing links, natural selection of improved forms, positive mutations, molecular phylogenetic sequences, etc.).

This is not science."

Professor Maciej Giertych, B.A., M.A. Oxon, Ph.D. Toronto, D.Sc. Poznan, Head of Genetics Department,
Polish Academy of Science, Institute of Dendrology, Poland (Emp added)

Human soul and religion are just the product of evolution

In the evolutionary pattern of thought there is no longer either need or room for the supernatural. The earth was not created: it evolved. So did all the animals and plants that inhabit it, including our human selves, mind and soul as well as brain and body. So did religion.'

Sir Julian Huxley was the brother of novelist Aldous Huxley and a grandson of Thomas Henry (T.H.) Huxley, better known as Darwin's Bulldog. Julian was a leading evolutionary biologist, the first Director-General of UNESCO and signatory to the Humanist Manifesto II. He made it clear what he and other leading evolutionists really thought about. Essays of a Humanist, pp. 82–83, Penguin Books, UK, 1964 (1969 reprint). (Emp added)

Intelligent Source

...An intelligible communication via radio signal from some distant galaxy would be widely hailed as evidence of an intelligent source. Why then doesn't the message sequence on the DNA molecule also constitute prima facie evidence for an intelligent source? After all, DNA information is not just analogous to a message sequence such as Morse code, it is such a message sequence.

Charles B. Thaxton, Walter L. Bradley, and Roger L. Olsen, the Mystery of Life's Origin: Reassessing Current Theories (New York: Philosophical Library, 1984), pp.211-212. (Emp added) Charles B. Thaxton: Ph.D. in Chemistry. Walter L. Bradley: Ph.D. in Materials Science.

Roger L. Olsen: Ph.D. in Geochemistry

Fantasia

"The sciences dealing with the past, stand before the bar of common sense on a different footing. Therefore, a grotesque account of a period some thousands of years ago is taken seriously though it be built by piling special assumptions on special assumptions, ad hoc [invented for a purpose] hypothesis on ad hoc hypothesis, and tearing apart the fabric of science whenever it appears convenient. The result is a fantasia which is neither history nor science."

(James Conant, quoted in "Origins Research", Vol. 5, no. 2, 1982, p. 2.

[Chemist and former President, Harvard University.]) (Emp added) www.wasdarwinright.net.

Former President Harvard University

Evolution vs Morality

'If a person doesn't think there is a God to be accountable to, then-then what's the point of trying to modify your behaviour to keep it within acceptable ranges? That's how I thought anyway. I always believed the theory of evolution as truth that we all just came from the slime. When we, when we died, you know, that was it, there is nothing...'...

Jeffrey Dahmer (Mass Murderer), in an interview with Stone Phillips, Dateline NBC, Nov. 29, 1994. (Emp added)

Mass Murderer

It's absolute garbage

Nor do I think we came from monkeys, by the way... That's another piece of garbage. What the hell's it based on? We couldn't've come from anything--fish, maybe, but not monkeys. I don't believe in the evolution of fish to monkeys to men. Why aren't monkeys changing into men now? It's absolute garbage. It's absolutely irrational garbage, as mad as the ones who believe the world was made only four thousand years ago, the fundamentalists. That and the monkey thing are both as insane as the apes standing up suddenly. The early men are always drawn like apes, right? Because that fits in the theory we have been living with since Darwin. I don't buy that monkey business. [Singing] "Too much monkey business..." [Laughing] I don't buy it. I've got no basis for it and no theory to offer, I just don't buy it. Something other than that. Something simpler. I don't buy anything other than "It always was and ever shall be." I can't conceive of anything less or more. The other theories change all the time. They set up these idols and then they knock them down. It keeps all the old professors happy in the university. It gives them something to do. I don't know if there's any harm in it except they ram it down everybody's throat. Everything they told me as a kid has already been disproved by the same type of "experts" who made them up in the first place. There.

Quoted from, "All We Are Saying: The Last Major Interview with John Lennon and Yoko Ono" by journalist David Sheff Pg 112 (St. Martin's Griffin, 2000) (Emp added)

John Lennon

Kooks vs. Darwinists

'If Darwinists actually had to prove their theory, they could only make a most undignified retreat. So instead of proof they fall back on a loaded definition of science and tell us that only kooks believe proof is necessary.'

Professor Phillip E. Johnson, Professor of Law at Boalt Hall, University of California (Berkeley), 'Unbelievers Unwelcome in the Science Lab', Los Angeles Times, November 3, 1990. Professor Johnson is author of Darwinism on Trial (Regnery Gateway, 1991). (Emp added)

Leading philosopher admits that evolution is a religion

'Evolution is promoted by its practitioners as more than mere science. Evolution is promulgated as an ideology, a secular religion a full-fledged alternative to Christianity, with meaning and morality. I am an ardent evolutionist and an ex-Christian, but I must admit that in this one complaint- and Mr (sic) Gish is but one of many to make it- the literalists are absolutely right. Evolution is a religion. This was true of evolution in the beginning, and it is true of evolution still today.

...Evolution therefore came into being as a kind of secular ideology, an explicit substitute for Christianity.

Michael Ruse was Professor of Philosophy and Zoology at the University of Guelph, Canada. Ruse, M.,

How evolution became a religion: creationists correct? *National Post*, pp. B1, B3, B7 May 13, 2000.

(Emp added)

No Acceptable Theory

'We have no acceptable theory of evolution at the present time. There is none; and I cannot accept the theory that I teach to my students each year. Let me explain. I teach the synthetic theory known as the neo-Darwinian one, for one reason only; not because it's good, we know it is bad, but because there isn't any other. Whilst waiting to find something better you are taught something which is known to be inexact, which is a first approximation...'

From a French recording of internationally recognized geneticist, Professor Jerome Lejeune, at a lecture given in Paris on March 17, 1985. Translated by Peter Wilders of Monaco. (Emp added)

Where did the human species come from?

"The Neo - in Darwinist is now reaching the point of dignity the history of science that the Ptolemaic system in astronomy, the epicycle system, reached long ago. We know that it does not work. And that is interesting. Because from the actual structure of the chromosome we can demonstrate that the human species did not come from a progressive humanisation of a pre-human." Quoted from Conference Paper dated October 1975,

The Beginning of Life, by Professor Jerome Lejeune,

Chair of Fundamental Genetics, University of Paris, France. (Emp added)



Norman Macbeth, "A Third Position in the Textbook Controversy," American Biology Teacher, Vol 38,
No 8 (November 1976), pp. 495-496. (Emp added) Retired attorney and Evolutionism researcher

Norman Macbeth, author of Darwin Retired /J.D. degree from

Harvard Law School, B.A. from Stanford University.

Defecting from the evolutionist camp

A growing number of respectable scientists are defecting from the evolutionist camp.
...moreover, for the most part these 'experts' have abandoned Darwinism, not on the basis of religious faith or biblical persuasions, but on strictly scientific grounds, and in some instances, regretfully.

Wolfgang Smith, Teilhardism and the new Religion: A thorough Analysis of The Teaching of Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (P.O Box 424, Rockford, Illinois 61105: Tan Books & publishers, Inc., 1988), 248 pp. Emp added.

John Wolfgang Smith: Mathematician and physicist/ Ph.D. in Mathematics from Columbia University (1957) / M.S in Physics from Purdue University (1950)/ B.S from Cornell University (at age 18) in physics, philosophy and mathematics/ Currently Professor of mathematics at Oregon State University/ Formerly an Aerodynamicist with Bell Aircraft Corporation / Provided the "theoretical key to the solution of the framed re-entry problem for space flight"/ Former Assistant and Associate Professor at the University of California, Los Angeles/ Active research mathematician/ Published in various scientific journals.

Professor of Mathematics

Not a shred of objective evidence

If there were a basic principle of matter which somehow drove organic systems toward life, its existence should easily be demonstrable in the laboratory. One could, for instance, take a swimming bath to represent the primordial soup. Fill it with any chemicals of a non-biological nature you please. Pump any gases over it, or through it, you please, and shine any kind of radiation on it that takes your fancy. Let the experiment proceed for a year and see how many of those 2,000 enzymes proteins produced by living cells have appeared in the bath. I will give the answer, and so save the time and trouble and expense of actually doing the experiment. You would find nothing at all, except possibly for a tarry sludge composed of amino acids and other simple organic chemicals. How can I be so confident of this statement? Well, if it were otherwise, the experiment would long since have been done and would be well-known and famous throughout the world. The cost of it trivial compared to the cost of landing a man on the Moon. In short there is would not a shred of objective evidence to support the hypothesis that life began in an organic soup here on the Earth.'

Science proves nothing

"Does not science prove that there is no Creator?" Emphatically, science does not prove that! Actually science proves nothing about first causes at all.

Introduction to Evolution (1953) p.429-430 (Emp added)

Paul Moody – Emeritus Professor of Natural History and Zoology

Notevolving

We're not just evolving slowly. For all practical purposes we're not evolving. There's no reason to think we're going to get bigger brains or smaller toes or whatever - we are what we are.

Stephen Gould in an October 1983 speech reported in "John Lofton's Journal," *The Washington Times* (February 8, 1984). Evolutionist Stephen Jay Gould of Harvard. (Emp added)

Has evolution been observed?

Evolution has been observed.

It's just that it hasn't been observed

while it's happening.'

'Battle over evolution' Bill Moyers interviews Richard Dawkins, Oxford University Professor, Now, 3 December 2004, PBS network. (Emp added)

Oxford University Professor Richard Dawkins 2004

Gross discrepancies

In the light what is known about the radiocarbon method and the way it is used, it is truly astonishing that many authors will cite agreeable determinations as a "proof" for their beliefs. The implications of pervasive contamination and ancient variations in carbon-14 levels are steadfastly ignored by those who based their argument upon the dates. The radiocarbon method is still not capable of yielding accurate and reliable results. There are gross discrepancies, the chronology is uneven and relative, and the accepted dates are actually selected dates.

'This whole blessed thing is nothing but 13th-century alchemy, and it all depends upon which funny paper you read'."

Robert E. Lee, Radiocarbon: Ages in Error, Anthropological Journal of Canada Vol.19(3).1981, pp 9-29 (Emp added)

Science and bias

At this point, it is necessary to reveal a little inside information about how scientists work, something the textbooks don't usually tell you. The fact is that scientists are not really as objective and dispassionate in their work as they would like you to think. Most scientists first get their ideas about how the world works not through rigorously logical processes but through hunches and wild guesses. As individuals they often come to believe something to be true long before they assemble the hard evidence that will convince somebody else that it is. Motivated by faith in his own ideas and a desire for acceptance by his peers, a scientist will labor for years knowing in his heart that his theory is correct but devising experiment after experiment whose results he hopes will support his position.

Boyce Rensberger, How the World Works, William Morrow, NY, 1986, pp. 17-18. (Emp added)

Hitler and Evolution

The German Fuhrer, as I have consistently maintained, is an evolutionist; he has consciously sought to make the practice of Germany conform to the theory of evolution.

Sir Arthur Keith was a British anthropologist, an atheistic evolutionist and an anti-Nazi, but he drew this chilling conclusion:

Keith, A., Evolution and Ethics, Putnam, NY, USA, p. 230, 1947. (Emp added)

Sir Arthur Keith - Evolutionist

There Is No God

I began to speak of God, Joseph heard me out, and after a moment's silence, said: 'You know, they are fooling us, there is no God. . . . '

I was astonished at these words; I had never heard anything like it before. 'How can you say such things, So-so?' I exclaimed.

'I'll lend you a book to read; it will show you that the world and all living things are quite different from what you imagine, and all this talk about God is sheer nonsense,' Joseph said. <u>'What book is that?' I enquired.</u>

<u>'Darwin. You must read it,' Joseph impressed on me.</u>

G. Glurdjidze in The Life of Joseph Stalin (1940) p.8-9 (Emp added)

Joseph Stalin



Theoretical biology

"Darwin's theory of evolution is the third great scientific discovery that took place in the middle of the 19th century. Darwin put an end to the notion of the species of animals and plants as "divine creations' not connected with anything else, providential and immutable, and thus laid the foundation of theoretical biology."

F.V. Konstantinov, ed., The Fundamentals of Marxist – Leninist Philosophy (Moscow, USSR: progress Publishers, 1982), 42. (Emp added)

The Fundamentals of Marxist - Leninist Philosophy

Natural selection cannot explain the origin of life

In order to have natural selection, you have to have self-reproduction or self-replication and at least two distinct self-replicating units or entities (therefore) prebiological natural selection is a contradiction of terms.

Theodosius Dobzhansky (1900-1975) was one of the leading evolutionists of the 20th century.

Dobzhansky, T.G., 'Discussion of Synthesis of Nucleosides and Polynucleotides with Metaphoric Esters', by George Schramm, in Fox, S.W., ed., The Origins of Prebiological Systems and of Their Molecular Matrices, Proceedings of a Conference Conducted at Wakulla Springs, Florida, pp. 309-310, 27-30 October 1963, Academic Press, NY, 1965. (Emp added)

Leading evolutionist of the 20th century

The universe designed!

"A scientific study of the universe has suggested a conclusion which may be summed up... in the statement that the universe appears to have been designed by a pure mathematician."

Sir James Jeans, The Mysterious Universe (New York: Macmillian Co., 1932/Cambridge, England: University press, 1932), p.140 (Emp added)

The trouble with horse evolution ...

I admit that an awful lot of that has gotten into the textbooks as though it were true. For instance, the most famous example still on exhibit downstairs (in the American Museum) is the exhibit on horse evolution prepared perhaps 50 years ago. That has been presented as literal truth in textbook after textbook. Now I think that that is lamentable, particularly because the people who propose these kinds of stories themselves may be aware of the speculative nature of some of the stuff. But by the time it filters down to the textbooks, we've got science as truth and we've got a problem.'

Dr. Niles Eldredge, curator at the American Museum of Natural History, in a recorded interview with Luther Sunderland, published in *Darwin's Enigma: Fossils and Other Problems*, Master Books, El Cajon, California, USA. (Emp added)



"Indeed, the only competing explanation for the order we all see in the biological world -

is the notion of Special Creation."

Evolutionist and paleontologist Niles Eldredge, Ph.D., of the American Museum of Natural History.

Niles Eldredge, Time Frames: The Rethinking of Darwinian Evolution and the Theory of punctuated

Equilibria (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1985), p.29 (Emp added)

The Atheists Know why Christianity has to Fight Evolution

'Christianity has fought, still fights, and will continue to fight science to the desperate end over evolution, because evolution destroys utterly and finally the very reason Jesus' earthly life was supposedly made necessary. Destroy Adam and Eve and the original sin, and in the rubble you will find the sorry remains of the Son of God. If Jesus was not the redeemer who died for our sins, and this is what evolution means, then Christianity is nothing.'

G. Richard Bozarth, 'The Meaning of Evolution', American Atheist, p. 30. 20
September 1979. (Emp added)

Superior rationality

"I find it as difficult to understand a scientist who does not acknowledge the presence of a superior rationality behind the existence of the universe as it is to comprehend a theologian who would deny the advances of science."

Rocket scientist Wernher von Braun as quoted by James Perloff, Tornado in a Junkyard (Arlington, Massachusetts: Refuge Books, 1999), p. 253. (Emp added) www.wasdarwinright.net.

Spontaneous generation and perpetual motion machines

The origin of life by chance in a primeval soup is impossible in probability in the same way that a perpetual machine is in probability. The extremely small probabilities calculated in this chapter are not discouraging to true believers however... (however)

A practical person must conclude that life didn't happen by chance.

Hubert Yockey, Information Theory and Molecular Biology, Cambridge University Press, 1992, p. 257. [Emp added]

Purposeful intelligence

The likelihood of the formation of life from inanimate matter is one to a number with 40,000 noughts after it... It is big enough to bury Darwin and the whole theory of evolution. ...if the beginnings of life were not random, they must therefore have been the product of purposeful intelligence.

Sir Fred Hoyle, astronomer, cosmologist, and mathematician, Cambridge University.

The Illustrated ORIGINS Answer Book (Reference Section) 4th Edition June Pg 61, 1992. (Emp added)

Sir Fred Hoyle: Honorary research professor at Manchester University & University College Cardiff/Astronomer/Mathematician/Author/Former University Lecturer in Mathematics at Cambridge University/He originated the steady theory of neucleogenesis.

Evolutionist Sir Fred Hoyle

Living today

"The Carbon-14 contents of the shells of the snails of Melanoides tuberculatus living today in artesian springs in southern Nevada indicate an apparent age of 27,000 years."

Alan C. Riggs, Science, Vol 224 (1984) 58-61. Emp added.

Atomic clocks reset?

There has been in recent years the horrible realization that radio decay rates are not as constant as previously thought, nor are they immune to environmental influences.

'And this could mean that the atomic clocks are reset during some global disaster, and events which brought the Mesozoic to a close may not be 65 million years ago but, rather, within the age and memory of man.'

Frederic B. Jueneman, FAIC, 'Secular catastrophism',

Industrial Research and Development, June 1982, p. 21. Emp added.

It make sense to believe in God

Question: Do you believe in God?

I agree with people like Richard Dawkins that mankind felt the need for creation myths. Before we really began to understand disease and the weather and things like that, we sought false explanations for them. Now science has filled in some of the realm — not all — that religion used to fill. But the mystery and the beauty of the world is overwhelmingly amazing, and there's no scientific explanation of how it came about. To say that it was generated by random numbers, that does seem, you know, sort of an uncharitable view [laughs]. I think it makes sense to believe in God, but exactly what decision in your life you make differently because of it, I don't know.

Darwinists' tactics

'They (most Americans) believe that the Earth is billions of years old and that life evolved gradually from simple to complex forms. But they also believe that evolution was a means by which God carried out a plan to create humans.

For tactical reasons, Darwinists don't rush to tell all these people that they are missing the point, but all in good time. Let people first learn that evolution is a fact.

They can be told later what evolution means.'

Phillip E. Johnson, Professor of law at Boalt Hall, University of California at Berkeley. 'Unbelievers Unwelcome in the Science Lab', Los Angeles Times, November 3, 1990. Emp added.

God's plan

The significance and joy in my science in those occasional moments of discovering something new and saying to myself,' So that's how God did it'. My goal is to understand a little corner of God's plan.

Jeffery L. Sheler and Joannie M. Schrof, "The Creation," U.S. News & World Report, Vol 111, No 26 (December 23, 1991), p.62. Henry F. Schaefer, Ph.D., quantum chemist and five-time nominee for the Nobel Prize. (Emp added)

Three-pound brain

In man is a three-pound brain which, as far as we know, is the most complex and orderly arrangement of matter in the universe.

Isaac Asimov, "In the Game of Energy and Thermodynamics You Can't Even Break Even, "Smithsonian (June 1970), p.10. (Emp added)

Isaac Asimov: Biochemist, World-renowned Evolutionist and one of the most prolific writers of all time, having written or edited more than 500 books. Asimov was a long-time member and Vice President of Mensa International and President of the American Humanist Association.

Isaac Asimov - Evolutionist

If students heard criticism of evolution then they might not believe it!

"In my opinion, using creation and evolution as topics for critical-thinking exercises in primary and secondary schools is virtually guaranteed to confuse students about evolution and may lead them to reject one of the major themes in science."

Eugenie Scott Leader of the National Center for Science Education, tacitly admitted that if students heard criticisms of evolution, they might end up not believing it!

Larry Witham, Where Darwin Meets the Bible, p. 23, Oxford University Press, 2002. (Emp added)

National Center for Science Education

Darwin put an end

"Just as Darwin put an end to the view of animal and plant species being unconnected, fortuitous, 'created by God' and immutable, and was the first to put biology on an absolutely scientific basis ... so Marx ... was the first to put sociology on a scientific basis ..."

V.I. Lenin, Collected Works, 45 vols. (Moscow, USSR: Progress Publishers, 1977), 1:142 (Emp added)

Mao Tse-tung





Chairman Mao

Completely failed

My attempts to demonstrate evolution by an experiment carries on for more than 40 years have completely failed.

N. Heribert Nilsson, as quoted in Arthur C. Custance, *The Earth Before Man*, Part 11, Doorway Paper no 20 (P.O. Box 291, Brockville, Ontario, Canada K6V 5V5: Doorway Publications), p.51. (Emp added)

N.H.Nilsson, famous botanist and evolutionist.

Famous botanist and evolutionist

No real theory of evolution

'The interpretation of evolution is in a state of upheaval:
the rapid advancement of Molecular Biology has led
into question many of the tenets of Darwinism and
Neo-Darwinism which, although valuable approaches at
the time they were formulated, never fulfilled the criteria
demanded by real scientific theories... In the author's
opinion, no real theory of evolution can
be formulated at present.'

From the publishers' advertising of a recent evolutionary book, *Evolution Without Selection*, by A. Lima-de Faria, Esevier Science publishing Co. Inc., New York (NY) USA, 1988 372 pages. (Emp added)



"When the blood of a seal, freshly killed at McMurdo Sound in the Antarctic was tested by carbon-14, it showed the seal had died 1,300 years ago."

McMurdo Station

From W. Dort Jr., Ph.D. -- Geology, Professor, University of Kansas, quoted in Antarctic Journal of the United States, 1971. Emp added

Scientific honesty

For me, the idea of a creation is not conceivable without invoking the necessity of design. One cannot be exposed to the law and order of the universe without concluding that there must be design and purpose behind it all.

Letter to the California State board of Education September 14, 1972, (Emp added)

My experiences with science led me to God. They challenge science to prove the existence of God. But must we really light a candle to see the sun? Letter to the California State board of Education September 14, 1972, (Emp added)

It is in scientific honesty that I endorse the presentation of alternative theories for the origin of the universe, life and man in the science classroom. It would be an error to overlook the possibility that the universe was planned rather than happening by chance.

Letter to the California State board of Education September 14, 1972. (Emp added)

Wernher von Braun - PhD Aerospace Engineering


Former Director of NASA's Marshall Space Flight Center

Evolution = No meaning, no purpose or free will for you

Let me summarize my views on what modern evolutionary biology tells us loud and clear ... There are no gods, no purposes, no goal-directed forces of any kind. There is no life after death. When I die, I am absolutely certain that I am going to be dead. That's the end for me. There is no ultimate foundation for ethics, no ultimate meaning to life, and no free will for humans, either.'

Provine, W.B., *Origins Research* **16** (1), p.9, 1994. Dr William B. Provine, Professor of Biological Sciences, Cornell University. (Emp added)

Professor of Biological Sciences



Nonsense of a high order

The notion that... the operating programme of a living cell could be arrived at by chance in a primordial soup here on the Earth is evidently nonsense of a high order."

Evolutionist Sir Fred Hoyle, "The Big Bang in Astronomy," New Scientist, Vol 92, No 1280 (November 19, 1981), p527. (Emp added)

Evolutionist Sir Fred Hoyle

Engels - Marxism

"The world is not to be comprehended as a complex of ready – made things but as a complex of omplex of processes."

Frederick Engels, Ludwig Feuerbach (New York, NY: International Publishers, 1974), 54. (Emp added)

Friedrich Engels was a German social scientist, author, political theorist, philosopher, and father of Marxist theory, alongside Karl Marx



I myself am convinced that the theory of evolution, especially the extent to which it's been applied, will be one of the great jokes in the history books of the future. Posterity will marvel that so very flimsy and dubious an hypothesis could be accepted with the incredible credulity that it has.'

Malcolm Muggeridge, well-known British journalist and philosopher Pascal Lectures, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada. From the Revised Quote Book. (Emp added)